Non-Examinations



STATUTORY / NON-STATUTORY	NON-STATUTORY
MEMBER OF STAFF RESPONSIBLE	AHT (DCr)
DATE APPROVED BY Head/SLT	November 2022
REVISION DUE DATE	November 2024

Contents

What does this policy affect?	3
Purpose of the policy	3
What are non-examination assessments?	3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	3
The basic principles	3
Task setting	4
Issuing of tasks	4
Task taking	5
Supervision	5
Advice and feedback	5
Resources	5
Word and time limits	5
Collaboration and group work	5
Authentication procedures	6
Presentation of work	6
Keeping materials secure	6
Task marking – externally assessed components	6
Conduct of externally assessed work	6
Submission of work	7
Task marking – internally assessed components	7
Marking and annotation	7
Internal standardisation	7
Submission of marks and work for moderation	8
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	8
External moderation - feedback	8
Access arrangements	9
Special consideration	9
Malpractice	9
Enquiries about results	10
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England 10	0
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	12

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u>—Foreword, page 3]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA - The basic principles, page 4]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA - The basic principles, page 4]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
 awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
 internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry
 code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

 Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
 or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates'
work where work is stored electronically

Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification

- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

- Arranges for subject teachers to have access to marksheets for the input of marks within AB
 timescales and submits marks to AB via EDI, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external
 deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body
 deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - · proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
 work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific
 information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

 Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENDCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- · Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
 Policies and Procedures

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
 Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head of centre

 Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services, Information and guidance for centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or
will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course	EO
where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	EO Network Mgr EO
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc.	Subject Leaders
criteria as detailed in the specification	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	Subject Leaders
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Moderator
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	Subject Leaders
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	VP line mgr
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and	PRT
new specification	requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	PRT
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	Subject Leaders & EO Subject Leaders
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Subject Leaders
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates	Subject Leaders
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Leaders/EO
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Assistant Head
Task taking		
Supervision	Assessment when identified for the start of the same	I A a sinta a t
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Assistant Head/EO
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	Deputy Head
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole separt to undertake written took in large even years at the	Deputy Head/EO
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Subject Leaders
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	EO

	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Subject Leaders
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	EO/Deputy
malpractice prior to submitting	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	Head
their work for assessment	(chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed	
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	Deputy Head
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	SENCo/EO
put in place for an assessment	the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the	
where a candidate is approved	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the	
for arrangements	candidate	
Advice and feedback	Enguros a contra wide process is in place for subject toochers to	Assistant Head
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins	Assistant nead
by subject teacher prior to	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	Subject leaders
otarting on their work	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	Cabjeot leaders
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	Subject teacher
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	Subject teacher
	starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	Deputy Head
feedback given by subject	record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the	
teacher during the task-taking	task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance	
stage	procedures	Cubicat Landara
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	Subject Leaders
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	Subject teacher
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the	Subject teacher
	task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	Deputy
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	Head/Head
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	
teacher over and above that	given	Subject teacher
allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to	/ Subject
specification	the awarding body	Leader/EO
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	Subject lead
information from published	before work is submitted for formal assessment	oubject lead
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	Subject lead /
	candidates: non-examination assessments	EO
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject teacher
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the	Subject lead
references as required	set out of references before work is submitted for formal	
	assessment	Cubic at least /
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	Subject lead /
	candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	EO Subject teacher
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	Jubject teacher
		1
	1 completion	
Candidate joins the course late	completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to	Subject lead
after formally supervised task		Subject lead
-	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	·
after formally supervised task	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to	Subject lead EO

An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education	EO
examination assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	Deputy
_	are made separately for the candidate	Head/EO
Resources	Drawaystaw, water and the work to be appeared are collected in	Cubicat too about
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Subject teacher
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Subject teacher
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	Network mgr
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject teacher
acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	
that is submitted for assessment	including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	EO/ subject
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	teacher
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	EO
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
Word and time limits	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Subject teacher
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them	Subject teacher
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	Subject teacher
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted	Subject teacher
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	Subject teacher
not permitted	unresolved	/ EO
Authentication procedures	December 15 and 15 and 15 and 16 and	I O I Continue do o
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	Subject leader
submitted by a candidate for	work	
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	EO
Candidate plagiarises other material	examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	Subject teacher
material	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	Subject teacher
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	Deputy
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	Head/EO
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	Subject leader
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
statement/declaration	examination assessments	Cubicat tacabar
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	Subject teacher
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject teacher
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Deputy Head
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject teacher
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment Keeping materials secure		
REMINING MATERIALS SOCIED		

Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	EO/Deputy Head
	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	EO/Deputy Head
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Deputy Head Deputy Head
Task marking – externally asses		Deputy Flead
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Subject leader
day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	EO
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject teacher
Task marking - internally asses	ssed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject teacher / EO Subject teacher / moderator
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	EO
their work for unforeseen reason	the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	EO/Deputy Head EO/Deputy Head EO/Deputy Head EO/Deputy Head EO/Deputy Head
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course	EO
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	EO
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	EO / Subject leader EO
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	EO
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	Subject leader Subject teacher Subject teacher
	through the awarding body's moderation process	Subject teacher

	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	EO
	Through the school intranet and exams policy, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course	Subject teacher
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	Subject teacher EO / Subject teacher
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject leader / Deputy Head
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year	EO
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach	EO/SLT
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	EO
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Deputy Head/Head
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Deputy Head